

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/529,289 | 04/07/2000 | YAACOV ALMOG | UDS | 5383 |
| 7 | 590 06/30/2003 | | | |
| WILLIAM H. DIPPERT | | | EXAMINER | |
| REED SMITH 599 LEXINGTON AVENUE | | | XU, LING X | |
| _, | 29TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10022 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| , | | | 1775 | 29 |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 06/30/2003 | · · |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--|---|
| Advisory Action | 09/529,289 | ALMOG ET AL. |
| Advisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Ling X. Xu | 1775 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with th | correspond nce address |
| THE REPLY FILED 16 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | void abandonment of this applic I) a timely filed amendment whi | cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a] or b]] | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). | isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mote armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in | fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>13 June 2003</u>. App 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | pellant's Brief must be filed with R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal | in the period set forth in of the appeal. |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require further | er consideration and/or search (| see NOTE below); |
| (b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by | pelow); | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or | n better form for appeal by mat | erially reducing or simplifying the |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE: | ing a corresponding number of | finally rejected claims. |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | tion(s): | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a s | eparate, timely filed amendment |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See | | sidered but does NOT place the |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which were newly |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,7-12,14-20,22-29,32 and 42. | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 30,37-41 a | <u>nd 45</u> . | |
| 8. $\hfill \square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on is | a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp | proved by the Examiner. |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | |
| 10. ☐ Other: | Silbz/U | DEBORAH JONES SOM PATENT EXAMINER |
| | | |

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it is the position of the xaminer that the rejections are still appropriate and are herein maintained.

4